Application No.: 10/524,688 Amendment under 37 C.F.R. §1.111 Attorney Docket No.: 052078 Art Unit: 4159

REMARKS

Reconsideration of this application, as presently amended, is respectfully requested.

Claims 1, 3-6 and 8-11 are now pending in the present application, clams 2 and 7 having been

cancelled by the present Amendment. Claims 1-11 were rejected

Objection to the Abstract

The Abstract was objected to for using language considered improper according to

preferred USPTO practice. The Abstract has been amended to remove the language that is

considered improper. Approval and entry of the amended Abstract is earnestly solicited.

Objection to Claim 11

Clam 11 was objected to for an informality. The current amendments to claim 11 obviate

the objection to claim 11. Withdrawal of the objection to claim 11 is requested.

Claim Rejection- 35 U.S.C. §102

Claims 1-3, 5, 6-8 and 10-11 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102 as being anticipated by

Sakagami et al. (USP 5,961,291). For the reasons set forth in detail below, this rejection is

respectfully traversed.

First, it is noted that the claims have been amended to recite a positive-displacement

This type of vacuum pump has a pair of pump rotors that are rotated

synchronously in the opposite directions. By rotating the pump rotors in accordance with the

- 7 -

Application No.: 10/524,688 Amendment under 37 C.F.R. §1.111
Art Unit: 4159 Attorney Docket No.: 052078

predetermined pattern at the time of starting the pump, the reaction products can be removed

from the casing. Moreover, according to the present invention, the rotation of the pump rotors

can scrape off the reaction products deposited not only in the space between the pump rotors and

the casing, but also in the space between the two pump rotors.

In contrast, Sakagami et al. disclose a turbo molecular pump. This type of pump has

only a single pump rotor.

Second, the vacuum pump according to the present invention is operable to rotate the pair

of pump rotors in accordance with the predetermined pattern including a combination of at least

two of rotation of the pump rotors in a forward direction, rotation of the pump rotors in a reverse

direction, and stop of the rotation. The vacuum pump of the present invention is a positive-

displacement vacuum pump. When the positive-displacement vacuum pump is coupled to a

processing chamber of a semiconductor fabrication apparatus, which requires a high cleanliness,

it is not preferable to rotate the pump rotors in the reverse direction, because the removed

reaction products could enter the processing chamber. In such a case, it is preferable to rotate the

pump rotors in accordance with the pattern of the forward rotation, stop of the rotation, and the

forward rotation (i.e., the intermittent forward rotation). Claims 3 recites this limitation.

According to the present invention, the operation pattern of the pump rotors can be selected from

the forward rotation, stop of the rotation, and the reverse rotation, in accordance with its purpose.

On the other hand, Sakagami et al. disclose only a combination of the forward rotation

and the reverse rotation.

- 8 -

Application No.: 10/524,688 Amendment under 37 C.F.R. §1.111
Art Unit: 4159 Attorney Docket No.: 052078

Art Unit: 4159 Attorney Docket No.: 052078

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that independent claims 1, 6 and 11

patentably distinguish over the cited prior art. Claims 3, 5, 8 and 10 also distinguish over the

cited prior art by virtue of their dependency on the independent claims.

Claim Rejection- 35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 4 and 9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Sakagami

et al. in view of Blow (GB 210072).

Blow does not alleviate any of the above-noted deficiencies of Sakagami et al.

Therefore, claims 4 and 9 patentably distinguish over the cited prior art for the same reasons set

forth above for independent claims 1 and 6 by virtue of their dependency thereon.

<u>CONCLUSION</u>

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that all pending claims are in condition for

allowance. A prompt and favorable reconsideration of the rejection and an indication of

allowability of all pending claims are earnestly solicited.

If the Examiner believes that there are issues remaining to be resolved in this application,

the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney at the telephone number indicated

below to arrange for an interview to expedite and complete prosecution of this case.

- 9 -

Amendment under 37 C.F.R. §1.111 Application No.: 10/524,688 Attorney Docket No.: 052078

Art Unit: 4159

If this paper is not timely filed, Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. The fees for such an extension or any other fees that may be due with respect to this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP

William M. Schertler

Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 35,348

Telephone: (202) 822-1100 Facsimile: (202) 822-1111

WMS/dlt